### 2010 RATING GUIDELINES FOR JUDGING

- 1. What design considerations make this project special? (25%)
- 2. How were the constructability issues of this project addressed? (25%)
- 3. How did the post construction performance make this project a success (25%)
- 4. What were the positives from stakeholder involvement? (15%)
- 5. How did the project perform according to overall schedule and to funds spent? (10%)

**Total (100%)** 

#### DEFINITIONS OF RATING GUIDELINES

## 1. Design:

- What were some project issues and challenges and how were they addressed? Consider engineering, environment, and economics.
- What were some context sensitive issues and solutions to the project?
- What unique/innovative techniques, materials, or equipment was used during project development?
- What were some Practical Solutions or Value Engineering considerations?
- What were some avoidance and/or minimizations that saved time, money, etc? This may include right of way, utilities, environmental, etc.
- What were some enhancements outside the purpose and need of the project?
- What were some lessons learned during project development? How could they be applied to future projects?

# 2. Constructability:

- How many change orders were given and what was the total for all? *see* entry form
- What were the design errors, omission, or plan error?
- What changes were made during construction?
- How well did the Maintenance of Traffic work?
- Describe any value engineering proposals?
- How easy was the project to construction?

### 3. Performance:

- How was the project area enhanced from an engineering, economic, and environmental status?
- How were the original purpose/need and project goals exceeded?
- What resulting statistics show the project improved/enhanced the area? (Amount of reduced crashes, less delay, better capacity, economic revitalization, aesthetics etc.)

### 4. Stakeholders:

- How was the stakeholders successfully engaged in the project? (Section 106 process, citizen advisory committees, local government, constructability reviews, value engineering study etc.)
- How did the stakeholders feel they contributed to the project?
- What type of support was given to the project? (Newspaper articles, radio advertisements, testimonials etc.)
- How did the project advance a positive image to the stakeholders?

## 5. Schedule/Funds:

- In what way was the final product an economical and cost effective solution?
- How well did the project meet the original design schedule and the letting date schedule? *see preconstruction status report and entry form*
- How close was the 6YP construction estimate to the engineers construction estimate to the awarded construction amount? *see entry form*
- What type of delays occurred during construction or for construction to be completed?